

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/678,853	10/03/2003	Isamu Uchida	WAKAB76.002AUS	WAKAB76.002AUS 2450	
20995 75	90 09/28/2006	EXAMINER		NER ·	
	RTENS OLSON &	MARTIN, ANGELA J			
2040 MAIN STREET FOURTEENTH FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
IRVINE, CA 92614			1745		
		·	DATE MAILED: 09/28/2006	ı	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	<i>[</i>
Office Action Summary		10/678,853	UCHIDA ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	<u> </u>
		Angela J. Martin	1745	
Ti Period for R	ne MAILING DATE of this communication		et with the correspondence ac	idress
A SHOR' WHICHE - Extensions after SIX ( - If NO perio - Failure to Any reply	FENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R VER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN s of time may be available under the provisions of 37 Cl 6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by s received by the Office later than three months after the tent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMM FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, n in. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6 statute, cause the application to become	UNICATION.  nay a reply be timely filed  ) MONTHS from the mailing date of this ome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,
Status				
2a)∐ Thi 3)∐ Sin	sponsive to communication(s) filed on gets action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ce this application is in condition for all sed in accordance with the practice under	This action is non-final. owance except for formal	•	e merits is
Disposition (	of Claims			
4a) 5)□ Cla 6)□ Cla 7)□ Cla	im(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the applica Of the above claim(s) is/are with im(s) is/are allowed. im(s) is/are rejected. im(s) is/are objected to. im(s) 1-17 are subject to restriction and	ndrawn from consideration	).	
Application	Papers			
9)☐ The 10)☐ The App Rep	specification is objected to by the Example drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) licant may not request that any objection to placement drawing sheet(s) including the cooth or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objecte the drawing(s) be held in ab prection is required if the dra	neyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). wing(s) is objected to. See 37 C	• •
Priority unde	er 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)	nowledgment is made of a claim for for ll b) Some * c) None of:  Certified copies of the priority documents of the priority documents.	nents have been received nents have been received priority documents have b ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	in Application No been received in this National	Stage
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of F	References Cited (PTO-892) Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 n Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	) Paper	riew Summary (PTO-413) r No(s)/Mail Date e of Informal Patent Application	
	s)/Mail Date	6) Other		

Application/Control Number: 10/678,853

**Art Unit: 1745** 

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - Claims 1-7, drawn to a process for generating power, classified in class
     429, subclass 121.
  - II. Claims 8-13, drawn to a process for regenerating a fuel, classified in class 205, subclass 343.
- III. Claims 14-17, drawn to a fuel cell, classified in class 429, subclass 12. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:
- 2. Inventions III and I are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case the product can be used in a materially different process, such as not employing the second step of applying a current from an external electric source.
- 3. Inventions I and II are directed to related process inventions. The related inventions are distinct if the (1) the inventions as claimed are either not capable of use together or can have a materially different design, mode of operation, function, or effect; (2) the inventions do not overlap in scope, i.e., are mutually exclusive; and (3) the inventions as claimed are not obvious variants. See MPEP § 806.05(j). In the instant

case, the inventions as claimed have a materially different mode of operation, function, and effect. Furthermore, the inventions as claimed do not encompass overlapping subject matter and there is nothing of record to show them to be obvious variants.

4. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/678,853

Art Unit: 1745

5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected

invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one

Page 4

or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by

a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Angela J. Martin whose telephone number is 571-272-

1288. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:00 am to 5:00

pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Patrick Ryan can be reached on 571-272-1292. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/678,853

**Art Unit: 1745** 

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Page 5

A.IM